

THE GAME PRESERVATION REGULATION AMENDMENT BILL.

Mr. Ananda Row.—Sir, so far as Travancore is concerned, it is a famous for its game, and, without adequate provision, it is likely that it may become exterminated. We have now a few provisions in Regulation III which have been found insufficient to serve our purpose. Consequently, I prepare a draft Bill which has been published in the Gazette. The main principle of the Bill is that some protection should be given to the game of the country. I, therefore, beg to introduce the Bill and move that the principle of the Bill be affirmed.

Seconded by Mr. Krishnan Nair.

Carried.

Mr. Ananda Row.—I beg to move that the Bill may be referred to a Select Committee consisting of Messrs. S. Padmanabha Iyer, P. Kesava Pillai and the Mover, for report within two months.

Seconded by Mr. Krishnan Nair.

Carried.

THE HINDU RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENT REGULATION AMENDMENT BILL.

Mr. Ananda Row.—Sir, in introducing the Bill, I have to submit that the object of the amendment is simply to make the provision of Section 4 of the Regulation clear. At present, there is a likelihood of the Section being construed in a way which is opposed to the intention of the framers of the Regulation. Section 4 makes provision for the Dewan or other officer appointed for the purpose issuing a notice to the trustees of Devaswoms to furnish accounts, etc. But there is no provision to meet cases where the trustees decline to comply with the notice and refuse to give the information asked for. I have recast the Section with a view to enable proper measures being adopted to work the provisions contained in the Section. I beg to move that the principle of the Bill be affirmed.

Seconded by Mr. Padmanabha Iyer.

Carried.

Mr. Ananda Row.—I beg to move that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of Messrs. S. Padmanabha Iyer, P. Kesava Pillai and the Mover, for report within two months.

Seconded by Dr. Poonen.

Carried.

THE TOLLS BILL.

Mr. Ananda Row.—This Bill has been already published in the Gazette with the Statement of Objects and Reasons, under Rule 32 of the Council Rules, and I beg to introduce the Bill now. The Bill prescribes the procedure to be followed in the matter of the recovery of tolls which may be levied here from time to time. In the absence of a law, practical difficulties occasionally occur in realizing the tolls. I beg to move that the principle of the Bill be affirmed.

Seconded by Mr. Padmanabha Iyer.

Mr. Madhavan Tampy.—Considering the condition of the people of the State, I think that the Bill will work great hardship upon the poor ryots. I also consider

cti/ of the Bill as rather premature, in the absence of a law authorizing
I cannot, therefore, agree to the principle of the Bill.

Row's motion was put to the vote and was carried by a majority.

Mr. Ananda Row.—I next beg to move that, considering the urgency of the
it may be taken up for final consideration at this meeting, the ordinary rules of
lure being suspended.

Seconded by Dr. Poonen.

Mr. P. Kesava Pillai.—I think that it will be more satisfactory to have the
rst dealt with in Committee, as it affects all classes of people. Especially its
sions regarding the farming of tolls require to be considered by a Committee.
efore, beg to move that the Bill be sent to a Select Committee for consideration
report.

Seconded by Mr. Madhavan Tampy.

After some discussion, it was resolved by the Council that the motion for
pending the rules be dropped and that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee.

Mr. Ananda Row then moved that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee
nsisting of Messrs. A. J. Vieyra, S. Padmanabha Iyer, P. Kesava Pillai, P. K.
Kesava Pillai and the Mover, for report within one month.

The motion was put and agreed to.

THE HIGH COURT REGULATION AMENDMENT BILL.

Mr. Ananda Row.—Sir, before the Bill is finally passed, a date has to be fixed
and inserted in Section 2, with effect from which all applications and references have
to be disposed of in the manner provided in Section 1 of the Bill. Similarly, in
Section 3, a date has to be fixed in regard to the disposal of appeals as provided in
Section 13 of Regulation I of 1067 (as amended by Regulation I of 1086). I think
that the 1st Mithunam 1087 may be fixed in both cases, and I propose that the words
"14th June 1912" may be inserted between the words "on the" in line 2 and the
word "corresponding" in line 3, as also the words "1st Mithunam 1087" between
the word "to the" in line 3 and the word "and" in line 4, in Section 2, and that a
similar amendment be made in Section 3 also.

Seconded by Mr. Krishnan Nair.

Carried.

Mr. Ananda Row.—I now propose that the Bill be finally read and

Seconded by Dr. Poonen.

Carried.

THE RUBBER THEFTS PREVENTION BILL.

Mr. Ananda Row.—Before this Bill too is finally passsd, a date has to be fixed
commencement, and I propose that the words "the 1st Chingam 1088" may
erted at the end of Clause (2) of Section 1.

Seconded by Mr. Padmanabha Iyer.

Carried.